IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6718 OF 2005

Smt.Neelam Vinayak Parab ...Applicant
vs.
State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Ms Harjeet Kaur with Mr.A.S.Anand for the Applicant
Ms S.D.Shinde,A.P.P. for State

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : OCTOBER 25,2005

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocate for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for State. The grievance of the Applicant who is the original complainant is that the trial is not concluded within reasonable time and longer adjournments have been granted by the learned Trial Judge in favour of the accused persons. The prayer in this application is for fixing the time bound schedule for disposal of the case.

2. Considering the large pendency of cases in the Courts of Metropolitan Magistrates in the city of Mumbai, it is difficult to fix time bound schedule unless extraordinary circumstances call for passing such a direction.

3. Nevertheless, the grievance of the Applicant is that the case of the year 1988 is still pending and relatively new cases have been heard by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate. If there are no older cases pending in the Court of the learned Metropolitan Magistrate, surely preference will have

to be given to the cases of the year 1988.

4. Hence, this application is disposed of by directing that the learned Trial Judge will consider of giving priority to the case having regard to the pendency of old cases in his Court. The learned Trial Judge will make an endeavour to conclude the trial expeditiously.

JUDGE